



Antitumor effects in hepatocarcinoma of isoform-selective inhibition of HDAC2

Yun-Han Lee, Daekwan Seo, Kyung-Ju Choi, et al.

Cancer Res Published OnlineFirst June 23, 2014.

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-3531		
Author Manuscript	Author manuscripts have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet been edited.		

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.			
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.			
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.			

Antitumor effects in hepatocarcinoma of isoform-selective inhibition of HDAC2

Yun-Han Lee^{1,2*}, Daekwan Seo¹, Kyung-Ju Choi², Jesper B. Andersen¹, Min-Ah Won², Mitsuteru Kitade¹, Luis E. Gómez-Quiroz¹, Adam D. Judge³, Jens U. Marquardt¹, Chiara Raggi¹, Elizabeth A. Conner¹, Ian MacLachlan³, Valentina M. Factor¹, and Snorri S. Thorgeirsson^{1*}

¹Laboratory of Experimental Carcinogenesis, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, ²Department of Radiation Oncology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, ³Tekmira Pharmaceuticals, Corp., Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada.

*Co-correspondence and contact information: Snorri S. Thorgeirsson, Laboratory of Experimental Carcinogenesis, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institute of Health, 37 Convent Drive Dr MSC, Room 4146A, Bethesda, MD 20892. Phone: 1-301-496-1935; Fax: 1-301-496-0734. E-mail: snorri_thorgeirsson@nih.gov, Yun-Han Lee, Department of Radiation Oncology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-749, Korea. Phone: 82-2-2228-0834; Fax: 82-2-2227-7823; E-mail: yhlee87@yuhs.ac

Reprint requests: to Snorri S. Thorgeirsson

Short title: Isoform-Selective HDAC2 RNAi Targeting in HCC cells

Key words: hepatocellular carcinoma, HDAC2, isoform selectivity, targeted siRNA therapeutics, p53 acetylation, cancer lipid metabolism

Abstract

Histone deacetylase 2 (HDAC2) is a chromatin modifier involved in epigenetic regulation of cell cycle, apoptosis and differentiation that is upregulated commonly in human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In this study, we show that specific targeting of this HDAC isoform is sufficient to inhibit HCC progression. siRNA-mediated silencing of HDAC inhibited HCC cell growth by blocking cell cycle progression and inducing apoptosis. These effects were associated with deregulation of HDAC-regulated genes that control cell cycle, apoptosis and lipid metabolism, specifically, by upregulation of p27 and acetylated p53 and by downregulation of CDK6 and BCL-2. We found that HDAC2 silencing in HCC cells also strongly inhibited PPARy signaling and other regulators of glycolysis (ChREBPa, GLUT4) and lipogenesis (SREBP1C, FAS), eliciting a marked decrease in fat accumulation. Notably, systemic delivery of HDAC2 siRNA encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles sufficient blunt the was to growth human HCC in a murine xenograft model. Our findings offer preclinical proof-ofconcept for HDAC2 blockade as a systemic therapy for liver cancer.

Introduction

HCC is the third most lethal neoplasm causing an estimated 700,000 deaths annually (1). In the United States the incidence of HCC has doubled over the past two decades, with only 30-40% of patients being eligible for curative treatments due to the late diagnosis, underlying liver disease and lack of effective treatment options (2-4). HCCs are phenotypically and genetically heterogeneous tumors driven by diverse molecular mechanisms (5). However, HCCs exhibit certain common traits selected through genomic and epigenetic alterations (6, 7). Identification of both common and HCC subtype-specific genomic alterations may provide an opportunity for anticancer treatment through targeted therapy (8).

Histone deacetylases (HDACs) belong to a family of chromatin-modifying enzymes that repress gene expression by removing acetyl groups from histone substrates (9). There are eleven known human HDAC isoforms which are subdivided into four classes based on function and DNA sequence similarity. These include class I (HDAC1, 2, 3, 8), class IIa (HDAC4, 5, 7, 9), class IIb (HDAC6, 10) and class IV (HDAC11). Within the family, HDAC class I enzymes show widespread activity throughout the body and are frequently overexpressed in many diseases including cancer (10). Given the functional significance of HDACs for epigenetic regulation of a large number of genes and signaling cascades in human cancer (9, 11), the pharmacological targeting of HDACs is emerging as a promising anti-cancer strategy (12).

Currently, two small molecule inhibitors of HDACs (HDACi) including SAHA (Vorinostat) and FK-228 (Romidepsin) have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treating subcutaneous T lymphoma and/or peripheral T-cell lymphoma and many more HDACi are undergoing evaluation for clinical application (12, 13). However, despite some progress in preclinical models (14), most of the known first generation HDACi showed limitations in clinical trials, mainly due to ineffectiveness at low concentrations in solid tumors and unselective binding to all or several HDAC isoforms whereby increasing the off target effects (15). Panobinostat (LBH589), a novel cinnamic hydroxamic acid HDACi, has shown to be active on HDAC class I and II isoforms at nanomolar concentrations (16) and to overcome imatinib-resistant KIT mutation in a combinatorial trial (17). In addition to efforts for reduction in pharmacological concentration, a number of HDAC isoform-selective or specific inhibitors are currently in development to abolish the adverse effects in clinic (18).

In the course of our studies of gene expression signatures as predictors of survival classes of HCC patients, we identified a limited number of genes with expression patterns that were significantly associated with disease prognosis (19). We then validated these findings in a preclinical model of liver cancer (20, 21) by therapeutically targeting two of the identified 'survival' genes (*COP1* or *CSN5*) using RNA interference (RNAi). RNAi is an intrinsic cellular mechanism which triggers a sequence-specific degradation of target mRNA (22, 23). Lipid nanoparticles (LNP) were used as an effective delivery vehicle for the small interfering RNA molecules (siCOP1 and the siCSN5) minimizing systemic toxicity (24-27).

Here, we extended our studies to address the therapeutic utility of the selective targeting of another survival gene, *HDAC2*, the overexpression of which significantly correlated with length of HCC patient survival (19). HDAC2 has been shown to prevent apoptosis and promote cell cycle progression by inhibiting p53 tumor suppressor and increasing MYC expression (28-30). Given our past experience in several preclinical studies, we used RNAi-based LNP as a treatment strategy to allow liver tissue selective drug accumulation and effective silencing of a target RNA in HCC cells while reducing unwanted immune response (20, 31-32). We also performed microarray profiling and a subsequent protein analysis to better understand the

mechanism and therapeutic utility of HDAC inhibition in HCC. HDAC2 knockdown by siRNA was isoform-selective and caused a strong suppression of cancer cell growth. The growth inhibitory effects were driven by a subset of molecular alterations including upregulation of p27, acetylated p53 and downregulation of CDK6, BCL-2, and PPARγ. *In vivo* delivery of HDAC2 siRNA effectively reduced liver tumor growth in mice.

Materials and Methods

siRNA

All native HDAC2 siRNA duplexes used for *in vitro* studies were chemically synthesized by Ambion (Austin, TX, USA) (HDAC2-1: siRNA ID# 120208, HDAC2-2: siRNA ID# 120209, HDAC2-3: siRNA ID# 120210). For *in vivo* applications, HDAC2-1 siRNA was synthesized in a large scale by Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA) and contained 2'-OMe modifications (31). Modified target siRNAs were encapsulated into LNP (lipid nanoparticles) as described by Jeffs et al. (24). Negative control siRNA molecules that do not target any endogenous transcript were used for control experiments. Silencer Negative Control #1 siRNA (Ambion) and LNP-formulated βgal478siRNA (32) were used for *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, respectively.

Cell culture and transfection of siRNA in vitro

Liver cancer cell lines, PLC and HepG2 obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Huh7 from Riken Cell Bank (deposited by Dr. Nam-Ho Huh), and Huh1 from Health Science Research Resource Bank were passaged for <6 months, and maintained as recommended by the provider. ATCC performed cell line authentication using DNA

fingerprinting by short tandem repeat analysis. Riken Cell Bank and Health Science Research Resource Bank did not provide information on the method of authentication. All cell lines were karyotyped upon receipt for future reference. Cells were plated at 30% density 24 h before transfection. Lipofectamine 2000 was mixed with siRNA molecules in a volume of 50 µl Opti-MEM I (both from Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The medium was replaced 24 h after transfection. The negative control siRNAs (NCsiRNA) were used in the same quantity and transfected to the cells simultaneously.

Microarray analysis

Total RNA was extracted, quality controlled, *in vitro* transcribed and hybridized on Sentrix whole genome beadchips, human Ref-8v3 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), as previously described (33). Image analysis and data extraction were performed automatically using Illumina GenomeScan Software. All microarray data were submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus database with the accession number GSE52232. The genomic data was analyzed using Bootstrap *t*-test and ANOVA (10,000 repetitions) between treatment and control (*n*=4, each). To explore the functional relationships among the significant genes with altered expression in HCC cells treated with HDAC2 siRNA compared to controls, their functional associations were validated by independent pathway analysis tools, Pathway Studio (Ariadne Genomics, Rockville, MD, USA) and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Ingenuity Systems, Redwood city, CA, USA).

Systemic administration of LNP-formulated siRNA and bioluminescence imaging in vivo

All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health animal care committee. Huh7- luc^+ (5 × 10⁵) cells were injected into the splenic pulp of 6-

week-old male SCID/Beige mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA) as previously described (20, 21, 33). LNP-formulated siRNAs (2 mg/kg) were injected into the lateral tail vein, every 3-days, for a total of 3 doses. Tumor growth was monitored by bioluminescence imaging (BLI) for 4 weeks with 3 or 4 day intervals using an IVIS Imaging System and analyzed by Living Image Software (Xenogen).

Statistical analysis

Statistical comparisons were conducted using a Bootstrap t-test with 10,000 repetitions. Mann-Whitney U test was used when normality assumptions were not satisfied. All data are shown as means \pm SEM. Analyses were conducted using R statistical software (v. 3.0.1). *P* values ≤ 0.05 (*) and ≤ 0.01 (**) were considered as statistically significant.

Measurement of cell proliferation and apoptotic cell death, Real-time RT-PCR, Western blot analysis, and Generation of HCC reporter cell line expressing luciferase.

See Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Results

siRNA silencing of HDAC2 inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in HCC cells

To address the biological functions of HDAC2, two HCC cell lines Huh7 and HepG2 were transfected with three variants of HDAC2-specific siRNA (HDAC2-1, -2, or -3). To select the siRNA eliciting the highest treatment efficacy and target gene knockdown, we tested a 5-20 nM dose range for each HDAC2 siRNA at optimal experimental conditions. Cells were plated at 30% confluence 24 h before transfection and treated with siRNA mixed with the same amount of cationic lipids. HDAC2-1 siRNA at a concentration of 15 nM caused a maximum growth suppression of ~80% in both the Huh7 and HepG2 cells after 4 days of treatment (Fig. 1A). This dose was therefore selected for all subsequent studies. In a concordance with the phenotypic assay result, HDAC2-1 siRNA was the most effective at target gene silencing in both of the examined tumor cell lines (Fig. 1B). HDAC2 knockdown was specific as transfection with a negative control (NC) siRNA did not affect target gene expression. The decrease in cell viability was affirmed by microscopic observation in 4 days of target gene silencing (Fig. 1C). The growth suppressing effect was reproduced in two additional HCC cell lines, Huh1 and PLC/PRF/5 (Fig. 1D). The growth inhibition in HDAC2-depeleted HCC cells was attributed, in part, to blockade of cell cycle progression. Flow cytometry analysis revealed that Huh7 and HepG2 cells transfected with HDAC2-1siRNA for 48 h had increased G0/G1 and reduced G2/M fractions suggesting cell cycle arrest in the G1-phase (Fig. 2A). More significantly, HCC cells with silenced HDAC2 showed a strong induction of apoptosis as measured by the formation of denatured single-stranded DNA that formed in apoptotic cells as opposed to necrotic cells or cells with DNA breaks (Fig. 2B). This coincided with increased activation of caspase-3, one of the primary executioners of apoptosis (Fig. 2C), and a concomitant accumulation of apoptosisspecific caspase-cleaved K18 (ccK18) fragments (Fig. 2D). The subsequent Western blot analysis of cleaved caspase-9 and caspase-3 (Fig. 2E) confirmed that HDAC2 silencing mediates a caspase-dependent mechanism of apoptosis. These data show that molecular targeting of HDAC2 in human HCC cells blocks cell cycle progression and induces apoptosis.

The molecular mechanisms underlying the growth inhibitory effects of HDAC2 siRNA knockdown

To study the molecular basis of growth inhibition caused by HDAC2 loss, we compared the global gene expression profiles of HDAC2-deficient Huh7 and HepG2 cells to those of control cells transfected with NCsiRNA. HDAC2 siRNA knockdown for 48 h caused a strong (> 8-fold) inhibition of *HDAC2* mRNA in both Huh7 and HepG2 cells (Fig. 3A). Western blots confirmed a great and specific decrease of only HDAC2 protein, albeit at a slightly different degree in the two tested cell lines (Fig. 3B). The expression levels of HDAC1, HDAC3, HDAC4, HDAC5 and HDAC8 isoforms were unaffected as compared with NCsiRNA controls on mRNA and protein levels.

The global gene expression analysis revealed that HDAC2-specific knockdown led to upand down-regulation of 1,104 genes in Huh7 and 286 genes in HepG2 cells (Fig. 3C). Comparing these two gene sets showed a statistically significant overlap of 97 genes as defined by a Bootstrap *t*-test with 10,000 repetitions and at least a 2-fold change (P < 0.001). Among these, 88 genes showed the same directional regulation (15 up- and 73 downregulated genes) and were considered as a common HDAC2 knockdown signature (Fig. 3C and Supplementary Table S1). Besides a strong down-regulation of *HDAC2*, the dysregulated genes were enriched for cell death and proliferation ontology terms (Fig. 3D). Consistent with phenotypic changes, PathwayStudio analysis of the common HDAC2 knockdown signature revealed that the expression levels of *TP53I3*, *BTG2*, *KLF11* and *TAGLN* involved in pro-apoptotic activity and growth suppression were upregulated, whereas key regulators of cell growth *TGFβI* and *RPS6KA3* were repressed (Fig. 3E). Furthermore, both examined HCC cell lines showed a decrease in the mRNA levels of the *SKP2* gene which encodes a substrate-targeting subunit of the ubiquitin ligase complex known to control entry into the S phase thereby inducing the degradation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21 and p27 (34). Of importance, in support of the recent findings that drug-mediated histone deacetylation could modulate nuclear receptor binding to chromatin and/or to factors involved in mediating their functions (35, 36), the signature also revealed downregulation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) (Fig. 3E), a member of a superfamily of nuclear receptors involved in the control of diverse physiological and pathological processes including glucose homeostasis and lipid storage (37).

Western blots confirmed the selected gene expression changes seen in microarray analyses. Supporting the functional relationship between SKP2 and p27, both Huh7 and HepG2 cells transfected with HDAC2 siRNA for 48 hours displayed an accumulation of p27 protein and a concomitant decrease in the expression of genes involved in G0/G1 and S phase progression (e.g. CDK2, CDK4, CDK6, Cyclin D1 and Cyclin E) (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the protein level of antiapoptotic BCL-2 was reduced, although the expression of BCL-xL, another anti-apoptosis regulator, was less affected.

We next measured changes in the global levels of histone acetylation, a commonly used detection method of inhibitory effects on HDAC activity. Inhibition of HDAC2 expression for

11

48 h increased the levels of acetylated histones by about 2.5- and 1.6-fold in Huh7 and HepG2 cells, respectively, as compared with NCsiRNA treatment (Fig. 4B). In addition to their interaction with histones, HDACs deacetylate a number of non-histone substrates including key transcription factors controlling cell cycle and apoptosis, such as p53, c-Myc and pRB (13). Accordingly, we have found that knockout of HDAC2 in Huh7 and HepG2 cells increased p53 acetylation at carboxyl-terminal lysine residues (K382 in Huh7 and K373/K382 in HepG2) (Fig. 4C) which is known to enhance p53 transcriptional activity and activate its target genes (38). This finding identifies p53 acetylation as an essential element of HDAC2 siRNA-mediated HCC cell death.

Among other beneficial effects of HDAC2 deficiency, the PCR analysis revealed a significant reduction in the expression levels of the important regulators of glycolysis (ChREBP α , GLUT4) and lipogenesis (SREBP1C, FAS) which was paralleled by a marked decrease in fat accumulation as judged by Oil Red O staining both in Huh7 and HepG2 cells (Fig. 4D, E). Taken together with a strong downregulation of PPAR γ (Fig. 3E, Fig. 4C), a critical transcription factor linking lipid and metabolic changes to gene expression, these results reinforce a previously unrecognized role for HDACs in control of lipid metabolism (35, 36). Thus, knockdown of a single *HDAC2* gene coordinately impacts a restricted number of defined oncogenic pathways acting in concert to decrease cell proliferation, induce apoptosis and reduce lipogenesis providing a rationale for therapeutic targeting of HDAC2 in HCC.

Systemic silencing of HDAC2 by siRNA encapsulated into LNP suppresses orthotopic liver tumor growth

The next studies were performed to validate whether systemic siRNA silencing of HDAC2 could suppress liver tumor growth. To enhance siRNA stability *in vivo* and prevent unwanted immune activation, native HDAC2-1siRNA was chemically modified by selective incorporation of *2'-O-methyl (2'OMe)* uridine or guanosine nucleosides into one strand of the siRNA duplex, and encapsulated into LNP (31, 32). The modified siRNAs were then screened for *in vivo* activity by evaluating the extent of growth and target gene inhibition, and cytokine induction using murine Flt3L dendrocytes isolated from mouse bone marrow. Among the variants tested, the HDAC2 3/7 sequence was the most effective in inhibiting Huh7 cell growth with more than 95% of target gene silencing (Fig. 5A,B). In addition, this modified sequence resulted in a minimal induction of IL-6 as compared to the treatment with a native HDAC2-1siRNA (Fig. 5C).

To test the therapeutic efficacy of *HDAC2* gene targeting we used an orthotopic xenograft model of human HCC in imunocompromised NOD/SCID mice and bioluminescence imaging (BLI) as a method of monitoring the kinetics of tumor growth. All mice received an intrasplenic injection of Huh7-*luc*⁺ reporter cells (0.5 x 10⁶), and on day 8 were randomly assigned to either the treatment (LNP-siHDAC2 3/7) or control (LNP-siβgal478) groups before starting siRNA therapy. The results showed that in control mice treated with LNP-siβgal478 targeting βgalactosidase, tumors grew very rapidly, and at the end point of the study (28 days) occupied a significant portion of liver parenchyma (Fig. 6A,C). By this time, the majority of control mice developed ascites reflecting impaired liver function characteristic of end stage liver cancer. In contrast, three intravenous injections of LNP-siHDAC2 3/7 caused a significant suppression of Huh7-*luc*⁺-derived tumors in liver (Fig. 6A,B). Upon histopathological evaluation, mice receiving LNP-siHDAC2 3/7 therapy showed an improved histology with single and much smaller tumors. As well, a decrease in liver-to-body ratios reflected the reduced tumor burden (Fig. 6C, D). Taken together, HDAC2 is an important regulator of HCC growth and that specific targeting of HDAC2 by RNAi could be a novel therapeutic modality for HCC.

Discussion

HDACs are commonly dysregulated in cancer and therefore represent promising targets for therapies (10, 12-13). In addition to recent reports in HCC (14, 19), increasing evidence demonstrates aberrant expression of HDAC2 in diverse cancer types such as cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, prostate cancer, ovarian cancer and endometrial cancer (39), implying that HDAC2 targeting may be an effective therapeutic strategy against various cancer types. However, the anti-cancer therapy by a selective inhibition of a disease-specific HDAC is still lacking.

The present study provides evidence that siRNA targeting of HDAC2 in HCC cell lines elicits a strong target specificity and inhibits only one HDAC2 target protein among the tested class I and class IIa HDAC isoenzymes. Over the past decade, at least 21 siRNAs have been evaluated for more than a dozen diseases, including recent preclinical studies targeting polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) and kinesin spindle protein (KSP) (27). The primary obstacle for therapeutic application of RNAi is successful *in vivo* delivery to the targeted cell (40, 41). In this study we used LNP technology to protect the systemically administered siRNA from glomerular filtration and serum nucleases thereby extending siRNA half-life and increasing its potency while suppressing unwanted immune responses (24-26, 31, 32). Indeed, treatment with a moderate (2 mg/kg) dose of 2'OMe-modified HDAC2 siRNA encapsulated in LNP was sufficient to mediate a potent silencing of the target mRNA and the effective suppression of HCC growth *in vivo* without cytokine induction or toxicity associated with unmodified siRNA. Although more work is needed to optimize the therapeutic dose and address potential side-effects, these findings

suggest the clinical utility of HDAC2 siRNA targeting for treatment of HCC disease with high target-selectivity.

In agreement with published data (28, 42-43), inhibition of HDAC2 protein caused a block in cell cycle progression and extensive apoptosis in all examined HCC cell lines. On the molecular level, it was paralleled by a coordinated dysregulation of genes acting downstream of HDAC2regulated transcription factors. Microarray profiling showed that phenotypic changes triggered by HDAC2 siRNA silencing were associated with an increased expression of proapoptotic effectors KLF11, BTG2 and TP5313, and down-regulation of key regulators of cell growth such as SKP2 and TGF β I. This was paralleled by a strong reduction in the protein levels of CDK2, CDK4, CDK6, Cyclin D1 and Cyclin E whereas the expression of cdk inhibitor p27 was increased providing a mechanism for HDAC2 silencing-mediated inhibition of cell cycle progression. We also show that HDAC2 siRNA knockdown increased the levels of p53 acetylation which is known to boost the p53 recruitment to promoter-associated complexes and up-regulate the expression of p53 target genes involved in cell cycle control and apoptosis. Of note, HDAC2 knockdown enhanced p53 acetylation both in HepG2 (with wild-type p53) and Huh7 cells (with mutant p53). Furthermore, siRNA-mediated HDAC2 silencing caused a downregulation of *PPARy*, which was associated with reduction in expressions of lipogenic enzymes and decreased lipid accumulation. This is in agreement with recent findings that genetic deletion of HDAC1 and HDAC2 in cultured mesenchymal precursor cells (35) or in vivo treatment with a novel class II-selective inhibitor MC1568 (36) interfered with PPARy signaling pathways. There is increasing appreciation that PPARy signaling in addition to control of lipid homeostasis also influences inflammation, hepatic fibrosis and cancer. Given the involvement of PPARy signaling networks in diverse physiological and pathological processes (37, 44-45) and

the conflicting data regarding the role of PPAR γ as either an anti- or pro-tumorigenic factor in HCC (46, 47), the mechanisms whereby HDACi interfers with the PPAR γ signaling pathways may be of significance for the clinical use of HDACi and requires thorough investigation

In conclusion, this is the first study to report that targeting of HDAC2 by RNAi-based nanoparticles allowed a selective inactivation of only one disease-specific HDAC isoform and achieved consistent therapeutic benefits in a preclinal model of HCC providing an attractive therapeutic modality for liver cancer.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Center for Cancer Research, NCI, and by a grant of the Korean Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (A121982).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interests were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: S.S. Thorgeirsson, Y-H. Lee

Development of methodology: A.D. Judge, I. MacLachlan, S.S. Thorgeirsson

Acquisition of data: Y-H. Lee, V.M. Factor, D. Seo, K-J. Choi, J.B. Andersen, M-A. Won, J. U.

Marquardt, M. Kitade, L.E. Gómez-Quiroz, C. Raggi

Analysis and interpretation of data: Y-H. Lee, V.M. Factor, D. Seo, J.B. Andersen

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: Y-H. Lee, V.M. Factor, S.S. Thorgeirsson

Administrative, technical, or material support: E.A. Conner, A.D. Judge, I. MacLachlan

Study supervision: S.S. Thorgeirsson

References

- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2005;55:74-108.
- Llovet JM, Bruix J. Molecular targeted therapies in hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2008;48:1312-1327.
- Bruix J, Sherman M. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2005;42:1208-1236.
- Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, Hilgard P, Gane E, Blanc JF, et al. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008;359:378-390.
- Farazi PA, DePinho RA. Hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis: from genes to environment. Na Rev Cancer 2006;6:674-687.
- Thorgeirsson SS, Grisham JW. Molecular pathogenesis of human hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Genet 2002;31:339-346.
- Feitelson MA, Sun B, Satiroglu Tufan NL, Liu J, Pan J, Lian, Z. Genetic mechanisms of hepatocarcinogenesis. Oncogene 2002;21:2593–2604.
- 8. Roberts LR, Gores GJ. Hepatocellular carcinoma: molecular pathways and new therapeutic targets. Semin Liver Dis 2005;25:212–225.
- Kramer OH. HDAC2: a critical factor in health and disease. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2009;30:647-655.
- Delcve GP, Khan DH, Davie JR. Targeting class I histone deacetylases in cancer therapy. Expert Opin Ther Targets 2013;17:29-41.
- 11. Trivedi CM, Luo Y, Yin Z, Zhang M, Zhu W, Wang T, et al. Hdac2 regulates the cardiac hypertrophic response by modulating Gsk3b activity. Nat Med 2007;13:324–331.

17

- Ververis K, Hiong A, Karagiannis TC, Licciardi PV. Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACIs): multitargeted anticancer agents. Biologics 2013;7:47-60.
- Glass E, Viale PH. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: novel agents in cancer treatment. Clin J Oncol Nurs 2013;17:34-40.
- Lachenmayer A, Toffanin S, Cabellos L, Alsinet C, Hoshida Y, Villanueva A, et al. Combination therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: additive preclinical efficacy of the HDAC inhibitor panobinostat with sorafenib. J Hepatol 2012;56:1343-1350.
- Gryder BE, Sodji QH, Oyelere AK. Targeted cancer therapy: giving histone deacetylase inhibitors all they need to succeed. Future Med Chem 2012;4:505-24. Review. Erratum in: Future Med Chem 2012;4:1369-1370.
- 16. Geng L, Cuneo KC, Fu A, Tu T, Atadja PW, Hallahan DE. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor LBH589 increases duration of γ-H2AX Foci and confines HDAC4 to the cytoplasm in irradiated non–small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 2006;66:11298-11304.
- 17. Bauer S, Hilger RA, Mühlenberg T, Grabellus F, Nagarajah J, Hoiczyk M, et al. Phase I study of panobinostat and imatinib in patients with treatment-refractory metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Br J Cancer 2014; 110:1155-1162.
- Marks PA, Xu WS. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: Potential in cancer therapy. J Cell Biochem 2009;107:600-608.
- Lee JS, Chu IS, Heo J, Calvisi DF, Sun Z, Roskams T, et al. Classification and prediction of survival in hepatocellular carcinoma by gene expression profiling. Hepatology 2004;40:667-676.
- 20. Lee YH, Andersen JB, Song HT, Judge AD, Seo D, Ishikawa T, et al. Definition of ubiquitination modulator COP1 as a novel therapeutic target in human hepatocellular

carcinoma. Cancer Res 2010;70:8264-8269.

- 21. Lee YH, Judge AD, Seo D, Kitade M, Gomez-Quiroz LE, Ishikawa T, et al. Molecular targeting of CSN5: A mechanism of therapeutic response. Oncogene 2011;30:4175-4184.
- Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. Potent and specific genetic interference by double stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 1998; 391:806-811.
- Elbashir SM, Harborth J, Lendeckel W, Yalcin A, Weber K, Tuschl T. Duplexes of 21nucleotide RNAs mediate RNA interference in cultured mammalian cells. Nature 2001;411:494-498.
- 24. Jeffs LB, Palmer LR, Ambegia EG, Giesbrecht C, Ewanick S, MacLachlan I. A scalable, extrusion free method for efficient liposomal encapsulation of plasmid DNA. Pharm Res 2005;22:362-372.
- 25. Morrissey DV, Lockridge JA, Shaw L, Blanchard K, Jensen K, Breen W, et al. Potent and persistent in vivo anti-HBV activity of chemically modified siRNAs. Nat Biotechnol 2005;23:1002-1007.
- 26. Zimmermann TS, Lee AC, Akinc A, Bramlage B, Bumcrot D, Fedoruk MN, et al. RNAimediated gene silencing in non-human primates. Nature 2006;441:111-114.
- 27. Judge AD, Robbins M, Tavakoli I, Levi J, Hu L, Fronda A, et al. Confirming the RNAimediated mechanism of action of siRNA-based cancer therapeutics in mice. J Clin Invest 2009;119:661-673.
- 28. Huang BH, Laban M, Leung CH, Lee L, Lee CK, Salto-Tellez M, et al. Inhibition of histone deacetylase 2 increases apoptosis and p21Cip1/WAF1 expression, independent of histone deacetylase 1. Cell Death Differ 2005;12:395–404.

- 29. Harms KL, Chen X. Histone deacetylase 2 modulates p53 transcriptional activities through regulation of p53–DNA binding activity. Cancer Res 2007;67:3145–3152.
- Ropero S, Ballestar E, Alaminos M, Arango D, Schwartz S Jr, Esteller M. Transforming pathways unleashed by a HDAC2 mutation in human cancer. Oncogene 2008;27:4008– 4012.
- 31. Judge AD, Bola G, Lee AC, MacLachlan I. Design of noninflammatory synthetic siRNA mediating potent gene silencing in vivo. Mol Ther 2005;13:494-504.
- 32. Judge AD, Sood V, Shaw JR, Fang D, McClintock K, MacLachlan I. Sequencedependent stimulation of the mammalian innate immune response. Nat Biotechnol 2005;23:457-462.
- 33. Andersen JB, Factor VM, Marquardt JU, Raggi C, Lee YH, Seo D, et al. An integrated genomic and epigenomic approach predicts therapeutic response to zebularine in human liver cancer. Sci Transl Med 2010;2:54ra77.
- 34. Bashir T, Dorrello NV, Amador V, Guardavaccaro D, Pagano M. Control of the SCF(Skp2-Cks1) ubiquitin ligase by the APC/C(Cdh1) ubiquitin ligase. Nature 2004;428:190-193.
- 35. Haberland M, Carrer M, Mokalled MH, Montgomery RL, Olson EN. Redundant control of adipogenesis by histone deacetylases 1 and 2. J Biol Chem 2010;285: 14663-14670.
- 36. Nebbioso A, Dell'Aversana C, Bugge A, Sarno R, Valente S, Rotili D, et al. HDACs class II-selective inhibition alters nuclear receptor-dependent differentiation. J Mol Endocrinol 2010;45:219-228.

- Grygiel-Górniak B. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and their ligands: nutritional and clinical implications-a review. Nutr J 2014;13:17 doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-13-17.
- 38. Li P, Wang D, Yao H, Doret P, Hao G, Shen Q, et al. Coordination of PAD4 and HDAC2 in the regulation of p53-target gene expression. Oncogene 2010; 29:3153-3162.
- Weichert W. HDAC expression and clinical prognosis in human malignancies. Cancer Lett 2009;280:168–176.
- 40. Pai SI, Lin YY, Macaes B, Meneshian A, Hung CF, Wu TC. Prospects of RNA interference therapy for cancer. Gene Ther 2006;13:464-477.
- 41. Hokaiwado N, Takeshita F, Banas A, Ochiya T. RNAi-based drug discovery and its application to therapeutics. IDrugs 2008;11:274-278.
- Zhu P, Martin E, Mengwasser J, Schlag P, Janssen KP, Göttlicher M. Induction of HDAC2 expression upon loss of APC in colorectal tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell 2004;5: 455–463.
- 43. Fritsche P, Seidler B, Schüler S, Schnieke A, Göttlicher M, Schmid RM, et al. HDAC2 mediates therapeutic resistance of pancreatic cancer cells via the BH3-only protein NOXA. Gut 2009;58:1399–1409.
- 44. Kidani Y, Bensinger SJ. Liver X receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor as integrators of lipid homeostasis and immunity. Immunol Rev 2012;249:72-83.
- 45. Zhang F, Kong D, Lu Y, Zheng S. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ as a therapeutic target for hepatic fibrosis: from bench to bedside. Cell Mol Life Sci 2013;70:259-276.

- 46. Baffy G, Brunt EM, Caldwell SH. Hepatocellular carcinoma in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: an emerging menace. J Hepatol 2012;56:1384-1391.
- 47. Wu CW, Farrell GC, Yu J. Functional role of peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor γ in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;27:1665-1669.

Figure legends

Figure 1. siRNA knockdown of HDAC2 inhibits growth of HCC cells. A, growth inhibition of Huh7 and HepG2 cells measured by an MTT assay 4 days after transfection of indicated doses of HDAC2 siRNAs. NT, no treatment; NC, negative control siRNA; *1*, HDAC2-1; *2*, HDAC2-2; and *3*, HDAC2-3siRNA. The data are shown as means \pm SEM of triplicate experiments.*, *P* < 0.05; Bootstrap *t*-test with 10,000 repetitions. B, downregulation of HDAC2 mRNA in Huh7 and HepG2 cells 2 days after transfection with 15 nM HDAC2-1siRNA. Data expressed relative to GAPDH, and normalized to NCsiRNA treatment (*, *P* < 0.05; Bootstrap *t*-test). C, representative light microscopy images of Huh7 and HepG2 cells 4 days after transfection. Scale bar, 100 µm. D, kinetics of growth inhibition in various HCC cell lines treated with 15 nM of HDAC2-1siRNA expressed as fold changes relative to NCsiRNA. Data represent three independent experiments. **, *P* < 0.01; Bootstrap *t*-test.

Figure 2. HDAC2 siRNA silencing delays cell cycle progression and induces apoptosis in Huh7 and HepG2 cells. A, FACS analysis of cell cycle progression 2 days after transfection with negative control (NC) and HDAC2-1siRNA. B, detection of apoptosis 3 days after transfection experiments by ApoStrand ELISA Apoptosis Detection Kit. Data represent three independent experiments (**, P < 0.01; Bootstrap *t*-test). NT, no treatment; NC, negative control siRNA; HDAC2, HDAC2-1siRNA. C, caspase-3 activation as detected by CaspSELECT Caspase-3 Immunoassay Kit 2 days after transfection experiments. Data represent three independent experiments. **, P < 0.01; Bootstrap *t*-test. D, detection of caspase-cleaved keratin 18 (ccK18) fragments by M30 Apoptosense ELISA 2 days after transfection experiments. E, Western blot analysis of HDAC2 and the indicated proteins functionally involved in caspase cascade. Whole cell lysates were prepared 2 days after treatment with NC or HDAC2-1siRNA. Actin was included as a loading control.

Figure 3. Transcriptomic analysis of gene expression following HDAC2 knockdown. A, foldchanges in expression levels of HDAC isoforms in Huh7 and HepG2 cells treated for 2 days with 15 nM HDAC2-1siRNA as compared to NCsiRNA based on microarray. B, Western blot analysis of HDAC1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8. Actin used as a loading control. *1si.*, HDAC2-1siRNA. C, heat maps of the differentially expressed genes (on the left) and 88 genes commonly deregulated (on the right) in Huh7 and HepG2 cells 2 days after transfection with 15 nM of HDAC2-1siRNA after normalization to the corresponding cells treated with NCsiRNA. (*n*=4 for each condition, 10,000 repetitions in Bootstrap ANOVA with contrast tests and a threshold cut-off of 2-fold change, *P* < 0.001, red (induced) and green (repressed), log₂-based scale). D, functional relation of the significant genes. The table demonstrates the key changes (cell death and anti-proliferation following HDAC2 knockdown). E, common regulators in HDAC2 knockdown 88-gene signature analyzed by PathwayStudio software.

Figure 4. Mechanisms of therapeutic response to HDAC2 targeting. A, Western blot analysis of HDAC2, cell cycle- or apoptosis regulators in Huh7 and HepG2 cells treated with the negative control (NC) and HDAC2-1siRNA for 2 days. B, global levels of acetylated histones in Huh7 and HepG2 cells treated with with NC or 15nM HDAC2-1siRNA for 2 days. Data represent three independent experiments.*, P < 0.05; Bootstrap *t*-test. C, Western blot analysis of HDAC2, acetylated p53, and PPAR γ . Whole cell lysates were prepared 2 days after treatment with NC or

Author Manuscript Published OnlineFirst on June 23, 2014; DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-3531 Author manuscripts have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet been edited.

25

HDAC2-1siRNA. Actin was included as a loading control. D, real-time PCR of genes functionally involved in glycolysis or lipid synthesis. **, P < 0.01; Bootstrap *t*-test. E, Oil-red O staining of Huh7 and HepG2 cells treated with the indicated siRNA for 3 days. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

Figure 5. Selection of HDAC2 3/7 for *in vivo* application based on the inhibition of tumor cell growth and minimal cytokine induction. A, inhibition of Huh7-*luc*⁺ cell growth after transfection with 30 nM of SNALP-formulated HDAC2-1 (native) or its modified variants (HDAC2-3/6~8, HDAC2-4/6~8, HDAC2-5/6~8). The siRNA transfectants were examined by MTT assay at 4 d after treatment (*, P < 0.05 (*n*=3) by Bootstrap *t*-test). *LNP-Luc*, Lipid nanoparticles (LNP)-formulated siRNA targeting luciferase. B, downregulation of HDAC2 mRNA in Huh7 cells treated with HDAC2 3/7. Total RNAs were extracted at 48 hours after treatment with 15 nM of siRNA (**, P < 0.01, Bootstrap *t*-test). C, quantification of IL-6 levels after HDAC2siRNA treatment. Culture supernatants of Flt3L-derived dendrocytes were assayed for IL-6 using ELISA at 24 hours after siRNA treatment. The data shown as means ± SEM of triplicate experiments (**, P < 0.01, Bootstrap *t*-test).

Figure 6. Systemic silencing of HDAC2 by siRNA-based lipid nanoparticles (LNP) suppresses orthotopic liver tumor growth. A, representative bioluminescence images of mice after intrasplenic injection of 0.5×10^6 Huh7-*luc*⁺ reporter cells resulting in tumorous growth in the liver. Eight days after transplantation, mice were randomly assigned either to control (β gal478, *n*=8) or target siRNA treatment (HDAC2 3/7, *n*=6) group based on the bioluminescence intensity. LNPs loaded with β gal478 or HDAC2 3/7 siRNA were injected at a dose of 2 mg/kg at 8, 11,

and 14 days. B, quantification of bioluminescence intensity. The total flux is plotted as photon/second. *, P < 0.05, (n=8 vs. n=6) by Mann-Whitney U-test. C, gross liver morphology and histopathology at 28 days after transplantation. H&E, hematoxilin & eosin staining, SCALE BAR, 50 µm. D, liver-to-body weight ratios. The data are shown as the means ± SEM in each treatment group. *, P < 0.05, (n=8 vs. n=6) by Mann-Whitney U-test.

Figure 1 **A**



Downloaded from cancerres.aacrjournals.org on June 23, 2014. © 2014 American Association

Day 1 Day 2 Day 3 Day 4







D

Ε

Huh7

HepG2

Function	p-Value	z- score	Bias- corrected z-score	Molecules
Cell death	P<0.0001	0.87	0.57	ABCB7,ABCC3,BTG2,EAF2,EPAS1,GRB7,HDAC2,KL
Proliferation	P<0.0001	-1.48	-0.77	F11,MCM10,MVP,NQO1,P2RX4,PA2G4,PAK2,PKP2, PPARG,PVRL2,RAI14,RPS6KA3,SCARB1,SERPINA3, SKP2,SOX4,SPP1,TGFBI,TP53I3,YBX1,YWHAG



Figure 4



Downloaded from car

Phase Contrast es





В С Normalized HADC2 expression 1.2 160 140 1 IL-6 (pg/mL) 120 0.8 100 80 0.6 60 0.4 40 LNP+DACE CC-35 INPHORCE 25 0.2 20 0 0 Untreated

Figure 6 **A**

