1 Q1 Small Molecule Therapeutics

Molecular Cancer Therapeutics

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

2 Q2 Human Neural Stem Cells Overexpressing a 3 Q3 Carboxylesterase Inhibit Bladder Tumor Growth

4 AU Sung S. Choi¹, Byung Hoon Chi², In Ho Chang², Kyung Do Kim², Sang-Rae Lee³,
 5 Seung U. Kim⁴, and Hong J. Lee¹

6 Abstract

7Bladder cancer is a significant clinical and economic problem. 8 Despite intravesical chemotherapy and immunotherapy, up to 9 80% of patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer develop recurrent tumors, of which 20% to 30% evolve into more 10 11 aggressive, potentially lethal tumors. Recently, bladder cancer 12cells are considered to be mediators of resistance to current 13 therapies and therefore represent strong candidates as biologic 14 targets. No effective chemotherapy has yet been developed for 15advanced bladder cancer. It is desirable that a drug can be 16delivered directly and specifically to bladder cancer cells. Stem cells have selective migration ability toward cancer cells, and 17 30

therapeutic genes can be easily transduced into stem cells. In 19 suicide gene therapy for cancer, stem cells carry a gene encoding a 20 carboxylesterase (CE) enzyme that transforms an inert CPT-11 21 prodrug into a toxic SN-38 product, a topoisomerase 1 inhibitor. 22In immunodeficient mice, systemically transplanted HB1.F3.CE 23stem cells migrated toward the tumor implanted by the TCCSUP 24bladder cancer cell line, and in combination with CPT-11, the 25volume of tumors were significantly reduced. These findings may 26 contribute to the development of a new selective chemothera-27peutic strategy against bladder cancer. Mol Cancer Ther; 1-8. ©2016 28AACR. 29

31 Introduction

32Urothelial carcinoma is the most common type of bladder 33cancer. Although more than 75% of all bladder cancer cases are 34 non-muscle-invasive that can be treated by transurethral resec-35tion, 20% to 40% of patients who undergo complete transurethral 36 resection for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer present a more 37 advanced disease or progression to muscle-invasive bladder can-38 cer, within 5 years of follow-up (1-4). Systemic cisplatin-based 39 combination chemotherapy regimens have become the gold 40standard in advanced bladder cancer. However, this standard 41 chemotherapy is usually insufficient in the treatment of bladder cancer therapy because of occurrence of drug resistance as well as 42 high systemic toxicity, including mucositis, neutropenia, infec-4344 tions, gastrointestinal complications, and toxic death rate (5).

Recently, the discovery of the inherent tumor-tropic properties
of neural stem cells (NSC) has provided a novel approach to
potentially overcome the primary challenge in developing chemotherapeutic regimens (6–8). Because NSCs act as delivery

Note: S.S. Choi and B.H. Chi contributed equally to this article.

doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-15-0636

©2016 American Association for Cancer Research.

vehicles that can disseminate therapeutic gene products specifically to invasive tumor cells, the use of these therapeutic NSCs is highly attractive. Moreover, engineering NSCs to express a suicide gene/prodrug-activating enzyme system is a particularly safe way to administer gene therapy, because they can kill not only tumor cells by bystander effect but also NSCs themselves in vivo (8). We generated human NSCs overexpressing carboxylesterase (F3.CE) using F3 parental cell. The prodrug CPT-11 (irinotecan) is a chemotherapeutic agent that treats in metastatic colon cancer as first-line chemotherapy (9). CE hydrolyzes CPT-11 into cytotoxic SN-38, a topoisomerase I inhibitor (10). The conversion level of CPT-11 in human plasma is very low because of the lack of CE activity in human blood. As a result, rabbit liver CE (rCE), which is 100-fold more efficient than human CE, is preferentially used in combination with CPT-11 to improve therapeutic efficacy (11, 12).

In this study, we investigated the tumor-tropic properties and therapeutic potential of F3.CE-overexpressing rCE for advanced bladder cancer *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

To generate HB1.F3.CE (F3.CE) cells, immortalized HB1.F3 (F3) human NSCs were transfected with a retroviral vector containing the CE gene (Fig. 1A). F3.CE cells were prepared by transduction with a replication-deficient retrovirus harboring the pLPCX vector, which contains the rCE gene. Vectors were packaged by cotransduction of the pLPCX.rCE puromycin plasmid with the MV12 envelope–coding plasmid into 293T cells. The retroviral supernatant was used for multiple infections of F3 cells. Transduced F3.CE cells were selected with 3 mg/mL puromycin for 1 week. The TCCSUP line (HTB-5, ATCC) was isolated in 1974 from an anaplastic transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) in the neck of the urinary bladder of a 67-year-old female patient. The



 ¹Biomedical Research Institute, Chung-Ang University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ²Department of Urology, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ³National Primate Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Ochang, Chungbuk, Republic of Korea. ⁴Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, UBC Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Q5 Corresponding Authors: Hong J. Lee, Chung-Ang University Hospital, Heukseok-dong, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 156-756, Republic of Korea (South). Phone: 8210-6276-2174; Fax: 8220-70462-37719; E-mail: leehj7l@gmail.com; and Seung U.

Q6 Kim, Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, UBC Hospital, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 2B5, Canada. E-mail: 1428rol@gmail.com



Figure 1.

07

Establishment of F3.CE cells. A, retroviral vector carrying the CE gene (pLPCX. CE). The rabbit CE gene is driven by the cytomegalovirus promoter (CMV). B, CE transcript was expressed in F3.CE, but not in F3 cells confirming by RT-PCR. C, cell morphology after CE gene transduction. LTR, long terminal repeat; Puro, puromycin.

established F3.CE cells and a human bladder cancer cell line,
TCCSUP, were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10%
FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen). All cell lines were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of
5% CO₂ at 37°C.

90 RT-PCR analysis

91Total RNA from F3 and F3.CE cells was isolated in TRIzol 92reagent (Life Technologies), as described in the supplier instructions and stored at -80°C until further use. RT-PCR was per-93 94formed with the RT DryMIX (Enzynomics) and PCR Premix Kits 95 (Takara). For cDNA synthesis, 1 µg of total RNA and oligo dT 96 primers (Promega) were reacted in RT DryMIX tubes at 50°C for 1 97 hour and at 95°C for 5 minutes. The resulting cDNAs were used for 98 PCR with primers that are specific for the rCE gene (sense: 5'-99ATGATGGCCTGGCTCTTTCT-3'; and antisense: 5'-TCTCGGAA-100AATTGCTCGATG-3') and the GAPDH gene (sense: 5'-CGT-101 GGAAGGACTCATGAC-3'; and antisense: 5'-CAAATTCGTTGT-102CATACCAG-3'). PCR cycling parameters consisted of 30 cycles 103of denaturation at 94°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. The PCR products 104were separated by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels (Pro-105106mega) and visualized using Davinch-Chemi System (Davinch-K). 107All experiments were performed three times, and the relative 108 densities of each band were determined using the ImageJ program 109(NIH, Bethesda, MD).

110^{Q8} Cell viability assay in vitro

111To determine the appropriate concentration of CPT-11 for *in*112*vitro* experiments, F3.CE cells were cultured under various con-113centration of CPT-11 ($0.05-5 \mu mol/L$). Cells (3×10^4) were114incubated with CPT-11 for 48 hours in a 6-well plate, and cultured

cells were harvested and analyzed using Muse Cell Analyzer (Millipore) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, harvested cells were stained with Muse Cell Viability Kit (Millipore; 1:10 ratio) for 5 minutes.

To examine the therapeutic effect of F3.CE cells against bladder cancer cells, TCCSUP and F3.CE cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at a 1:1 ratio (3×10^4 cells each/well). After a 48-hour treatment with 1 µmol/L CPT-11, these cells were stained with Muse Cell Viability Kit as described above.

Apoptosis assay in vitro

To determine the cytotoxic effect of F3.CE in the presence of 1 μ mol/L CPT-11, TCCSUP and F3.CE cells were cocultured at a 1:1 ratio as described above. Apoptosis was analyzed using Muse Annexin V and Dead Cell Assay Kit (Millipore) at the endpoint. These cells were incubated with Annexin V and Dead Cell Reagent (7-AAD) for 20 minutes, and the events for dead, late apoptotic, early apoptotic, and live cells were counted.

In vitro "bystander effect" experiments

TCCSUP cells were plated in 6-well plates with F3 or F3.CE cells (total 6×10^4 cells/well, ratios of TCCSUP cells to F3 or F3.CE cells = 100:0, 75:25, 50:50, 25:75, or 0:100). Cell viability and cell death were analyzed using Muse Cell Analyzer at 48 hours after treatment with 1 µmol/L CPT-11 as described above.

To examine CE secretion and the direct apoptotic effect of the CE gene against tumor cells, tumor cells were plated and cultured in HB1.F3 and F3.CE-conditioned media in the presence of 1 μ mol/L CPT-11 for 1 to 3 days. Untreated cells and only CPT-11–treated tumor cells were used as controls.

Bladder cancer animal model

Q9 ₁₄₄ 145

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

All procedures were conducted in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (IRB: 11-0086) with approval by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Chung-Ang University Hospital (Seoul, Republic of Korea). Male BALB/c nude mice (CAnN.Cg-Foxn1nu/CrljOri) 6 weeks old (SAERONBIO Inc) were housed in a temperaturecontrolled environment with a 12-hour day/night cycle. All mice were fed with regular chow and water *ad libitum*.

Mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection with Zoletil (30 mg/kg) and Rompun (10 mg/kg). TCCSUP bladder cancer cells (1 \times 10⁶ cells in 100 μ L of PBS) were injected into the subcutaneous dorsa of mice in the proximal midline.

Mice were divided randomly into six groups, 9 mice per group. 157All mice received TCCSUP cancer cells. The control group was not 158treated (control group, TCCSUP only). The second group received 159the CPT-11 prodrug (CPT-11 group). The third group was 160 implanted with F3 cells (F3 group), whereas the fourth group 161 received F3 cells and CPT-11 (F3/CPT-11 group). The fifth group 162was injected with F3.CE cells (F3.CE group), whereas the sixth 163group was injected with F3.CE cells and CPT-11 (F3.CE/CPT-11 164group). F3 or F3.CE cells (1 \times 10⁶ cells in 100 μ L PBS) were 165implanted around the tumor mass twice, at 2 and 4 weeks after 166TCCSUP injection. After the injection of F3.CE cells, animals were 167treated with CPT-11 (3.75 mg/kg in 100 µL normal saline) 168 intraperitoneally for 5 days. They were then rested for 2 days and 169then injected with CPT-11 for 5 days. All animals were sacrificed, 170and tumor cell masses were collected 2 weeks after the last CPT-11 171 treatment (Fig. 6). 172



Figure 2.

Cytotoxicity of the F3.CE/CPT-11 system. A, F3.CE cells were cultured with various concentrations of CPT-11 for 48 hours. Survival of F3.CE cells was lower than 20% compared with control. B, therapeutic effect of F3.CE against human bladder cancer cells. The survival of TCCSUP cells was significantly reduced in the presence of 1 μ mol/L CPT-11 and F3.CE cells. C and D, microscopic images in F3 (C) and F3.CE (D) groups after CPT-11 treatment. *, *P* < 0.05; **, no significance.

175 Measurement of tumor size

176The tumor mass sizes were measured by caliper, and volumes 177 were calculated according to the formula: volume = largest 178width² \times largest length \times 0.5. Results were expressed as the 179mean of tumor volume \pm SE. Collected tumor cells masses were 180 fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and cryosectioned coronally at 181 10-µm thickness and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) 182staining. Stained tumor sizes were measured following the same procedure. 183

184^{Q10} Statistical analysis

185Two-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey tests were used to186evaluate differences in cell viability and tumor volume between187groups at the significance level of 5%. Data are presented as188means \pm SE.

189 **Results**

190 Establishment of F3.CE cells

Retroviral vectors containing the rCE gene were transduced into
HB1.F3 human NSCs to generate F3.CE cells overexpressing the
CE gene. Expression of the CE gene was examined by RT-PCR. CE
transcripts were expressed in F3.CE cells, but not in parental HB1.

F3 (F3) cells (Fig. 1B). There was no change and no disruption in the cell morphology (Fig. 1C).

Cytotoxic effect of CE and CPT-11 on bladder cancer cells

To determine the concentration of CPT-11, F3.CE cells were 199 incubated in various concentrations of CPT-11 (0.05-5 µmol/L). 200The optimal CPT-11 concentration for the in vitro experiments was 201found to be 1 µmol/L. Therefore, 1 µmol/L CPT-11 was used in 202all experiments (Fig. 2A). When F3.CE cells were cocultured 203 with TCCSUP bladder cancer cells (1:1 ratio) for 48 hours with 204 1 µmol/L CPT-11, the survival of bladder cancer cells was signif-205icantly reduced in F3.CE (13.8 \pm 1.8%), but not in the F3 group 206 (94.7 \pm 0.7%), under CPT-11 exposure compared with that in the 207 absence of CPT-11 (Fig. 2B). The bladder cancer cell number also 208appeared reduced in the F3.CE group (Fig. 2D), but not in the F3 209210group (Fig. 2C), under the microscope.

Apoptotic cells were counted only as Annexin V-positive cells211(early and late apoptotic cells) without necrotic cells. Annexin V-
positive apoptotic bladder cancer cells significantly increased in
the presence of F3.CE and 1 μ mol/L CPT-11 (51.1 \pm 1.3%)213compared with F3 only (2.1 \pm 0.2%), F3 and CPT-11 (2.3 \pm
0.1%), and F3.CE (11.9 \pm 1.4%) groups (Fig. 3A and B).216

196

197

198

Q11



Figure 3.

Analysis of apoptosis in mixed culture with cancer and stem cells. A, Annexin V staining of early and late apoptotic (Apop.) bladder cancer cells cultured in the presence of F3 (0 μ mol/L), F3.CE (0 μ mol/L), F3 (1 μ mol/L), and F3.CE (1 μ mol/L CPT-11). B, data, mean \pm SE; *, P < 0.05.

219 In vitro bystander effects of F3.CE

220 The in vitro bystander effects of F3.CE were determined in a coculture system, with TCCSUP bladder cancer cells cocultured 221 222 with F3 or F3.CE cells at various concentrations (ratios of TCCSUP 223cells to F3 or F3.CE cells = 100:0, 75:25, 50:50, 25:75, or 0:100). 224In the coculture experiment, the parental F3 cells did not induce 225any cytotoxic effect on TCCSUP cells after CPT-11 treatment; 226 however, serial mixture of F3.CE and TCCSUP cells was shown 227 to significantly reduce their viability under the exposure of 1 μ mol/L CPT-11 to TCCSUP human bladder cancer cells (P < 228 229 0.05; Fig. 4). These results indicate that a small number of F3. CE cells overexpressing CE can activate sufficient amounts of CPT-11 to kill TCCSUP cells *in vitro*. 232

To confirm whether cell death was induced by the cytotoxic 233effects of F3.CE secreted in response to CPT-11, the media were 234conditioned with F3 and F3.CE for 2 days. TCCSUP cells 235were cultured in normal growth media, 1 mmol/L CPT-11 only 236media, or the F3 or F3_CE-conditioned media in the presence of 237 1 mmol/L CPT-11 for 1 to 3 days. The viability of TCCSUP cells in 238 CPT-11 and F3-conditioned media was maintained at similar 239levels to that of controls, whereas the F3_CE-conditioned media 240decreased the viability and induced apoptosis in a time-241



Figure 4.

Bystander cell killing effect of CE. The bystander effect of CE was examined in a coculture system of F3 or F3.CE cells and TCCSUP cells at various ratios (TCCSUP cells to F3 or F3.CE cells = 100:0, 75:25, 50:50, 25:75, or 0:100). Survival of human bladder cancer cells was significantly reduced under the exposure of 1 μ mol/L CPT-11 and F3.CE cells for 48 hours (n = 3/group; *, P < 0.05), but not F3 cells.

dependent manner (Fig. 5). The number of apoptotic cells in
TCCSUP cells treated with control, CPT-11 only, and F3-conditioned medium was up to 15%, whereas apoptotic cells in F3.CE
conditioned media reached 64.35% (Fig. 5B). These results suggested that F3.CE cells secreted CE proteins into the medium, and
the secreted CE proteins efficiently converted CPT11 into active
SN-38 to induce death of TCCSUP.

251 *In vivo* therapeutic efficacy of F3.CE cells toward bladder cancer 252 cells

253The *in vivo* therapeutic efficacy of F3.CE cells against bladder254cancer cells was determined by tumor volume measurement.

255 Slices of tumor mass were stained with H&E and tumor areas

measured (Fig. 7A). The tumor volumes in the F3.CE/CPT-11 257group (11.1 \pm 1.2 mm³) were significantly reduced compared 258with control (TCCSUP only, $65.9 \pm 5.1 \text{ mm}^3$), F3 (62.4 ± 3.6 259mm³), F3.CE (62.8 \pm 4.8 mm³), TCCSUP + CPT11 (62.4 \pm 3.6 260mm³), and F3 + CPT11 (64.7 \pm 6.6 mm³; Fig. 7B). The tumor 261 volumes in the F3.CE/CPT-11 group were reduced by 83% com-262 pared with the control group. These results suggest that F3.CE cells 263 expressed CE, which in turn converted CPT-11 into SN-38, a 264potent toxic metabolite showing tumor-killing activity and acting 265as anticancer therapeutic. In addition, we did not find any cell 266 267mass near the implanted cancer in F3.CE/CPT-11 group at the endpoint. This suggested that F3.CE cells may be removed after 268CPT-11 treatment in vivo. 269

270

289

290

291

292

Discussion

The major advantage of using stem cells for treating cancer is 271their unique migration and infiltration ability into tumor bulks. 272This tumor-specific tropism can be utilized by equipping stem 273cells with products that have antitumor effects. In additon, the 274ability of stem cells to infiltrate throughout tumor masses is 275assumed to produce a more potent antitumor effect than other 276tools, such as modified liposomes, antibody-drug conjugates, 277and nanoparticles. Moreover, this tumor-specific tropism could 278be exploited to target minute distant metastases and infiltrate 279malignant satellites after complete resection of the main tumor. 280 This tropism is due to interactions with protein receptors on 281tumor cells as well as important physiologic processes that influ-282ence the migratory behavior of transplanted NSCs, including 283inflammation, reactive astrocytosis, and angiogenesis (13-15). 284F3.CE cells were used to treat various cancer cell types (subdural 285medulloblastomas, breast cancer, and lung cancer). These previ-286ous studies also reported the tropism of stem cells to cancer cells 287(16 - 18).288

To avoid tumor formation, F3.CE cells were transduced with the suicide gene encoding CE, which convert the nontoxic CPT-11 prodrug into the highly toxic SN-38 drug, showing significant therapeutic effects on some tumor cells, such as

Figure 5.

Q12

Cytotoxic effects of F3.CE in the presence of CPT-11. To confirm the cytotoxic effect of F3.CE cells, the conditioned medium from F3 and F3. CE cell cultures was collected and treated with TCCSUP cells for 3 days. A, the viability of TCCSUP cells decreased in F3.CE-conditioned medium. B, F3.CE-conditioned medium. B, F3.CE-conditioned medium induced the apoptosis of TCCSUP, whereas CPT-11 and F3conditioned media did not have any cytotoxic effect on TCCSUP.





Choi et al.



Figure 6.

Schematic timeline of *in vivo* experiments. F3 or F3.CE cells were implanted around the tumor mass twice, at 2 and 4 weeks after TCCSUP injection. After the injection of F3.CE cells, animals were treated with CPT-11 for 5 consecutive days with a break of 2 days. All animals were sacrificed, and tumor cell masses were collected 2 weeks after the endpoint of CPT-11 treatment. Con, control; Apop., apoptotic.

295melanoma, ovarian cancer cell, and neuroblastoma (19-21). 296The toxic drug can remove the tumor mass as well as the stem 297cells themselves to avoid additional tumor formation from 298implanted stem cells. Safety issues as well as immune rejection 299 of stem cells need to be solved before clinical application. 300 However, stem cells from the central nervous system have the 301 advantage to give rise to limited immune response because they 302 do not express MHC class I or II antigens in their undifferen-303 tiated state (22). In a previous study, Lee and colleagues 304reported that F3.CE could avoid immune rejection by 305 CD70-CD27 ligation between NSCs and T cells (23). More-306 over, F3 cells were used as carriers of suicide genes for brain 307 tumors and brain metastases without any additional tumor 308 formation (21, 24).

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that NSCs carrying a 310 suicide gene exert a bystander therapeutic effect on bladder cancer 311 cells. We used HB1.F3 NSCs, a well-characterized human NSC line 312(22). The effect of NSCs showed an 83% reduction of tumor 313 volume in a mouse model. F3.CE cells migrated to the implanted 314 tumor sites and reduced the tumor volume in mice receiving 315administration of CPT-11. Therefore, our study suggests the 316 potential of human NSCs as an effective delivery system to target 317 and deliver CPT-11 to bladder cancer cells. 318

In conclusion, human NSCs transduced with CE were shown to319exert a cytotoxic effect on implanted human bladder tumor cells in320the presence of the CPT-11 prodrug *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These321results further support the use of human NSCs expressing CE in322the treatment of advanced bladder cancer in clinical trials.323



Figure 7.

Implantation of F3.CE has a therapeutic effect against TCCSUP cells in CPT-11 exposure. A, collected tumor masses were cryosectioned, stained with H/E, and measured. B, data, mean \pm SE, P < 0.05.

326 Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

327 Q14 No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

328 Authors' Contributions

- 329 Conception and design: S.S. Choi, I.H. Chang
- 330 Development of methodology: K.D. Kim
- 331Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients,332provided facilities, etc.): S.-R. Lee
- Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics,
 computational analysis): S.S. Choi
- 335 Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: S.S. Choi, B.H. Chi
- 336^{Q15} Study supervision: S.U. Kim, H.J. Lee

348 **References**

352

- Sharir S.Update on clinical and radiological staging and surveillance of bladder cancer. Can J Urol 2006;13:71–6.
 Bulbul MA, Husseini N, Houjaij A. Superficial bladder cancer epidemiol-
 - Bulbul MA, Husseini N, Houjaij A. Superficial bladder cancer epidemiology, diagnosis and management. J Med Liban 2005;53:107–13.
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Hao Y, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2009. CA
 Cancer J Clin 2009;59:225–49.

Grant Support

This research was supported by a grant from the Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (KRIBB) Research Initiative Program (KGM4611512; to H.J. Lee).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received July 30, 2015; revised January 20, 2016; accepted March 2, 2016; published OnlineFirst xx xx, xxxx.

- Murta-Nascimento C, Schmitz-Drager BJ, Zeegers MP, Steineck G, Kogevinas M, Real FX, et al. Epidemiology of urinary bladder cancer: from tumor development to patient's death. World J Urol 2007;25:285–95.
- Sio TT, Ko J, Gudena VK, Verma N, Chaudhary UB. Chemotherapeutic and targeted biological agents for metastatic bladder cancer: a comprehensive review. Int J Urol 2014;21:630–7.

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

356

357

358

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

- Chang DY, Yoo SW, Hong Y, Kim S, Kim SJ, Yoon SH, et al. The growth of brain tumors can be suppressed by multiple transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells expressing cytosine deaminase. Int J Cancer 2010;127:1975–83.
 - Shimato S, Natsume A, Takeuchi H, Wakabayashi T, Fujii M, Ito M, et al. Human neural stem cells target and deliver therapeutic gene to experimental leptomeningeal medulloblastoma. Gene Ther 2007;14:1132–42.
 - Kim SK, Cargioli TG, Machluf M, Yang W, Sun Y, Al-Hashem R, et al. PEXproducing human neural stem cells inhibit tumor growth in a mouse glioma model. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:5965–70.
 - Ma J, Zhang Y, Shen H, Kapesa L, Liu W, Zeng M, et al. Association between mismatch repair gene and irinotecan-based chemotherapy in metastatic colon cancer. Tumour Biol 2015;36:9599–609.
 - Tanizawa A, Fujimori A, Fujimori Y, Pommier Y. Comparison of topoisomerase I inhibition, DNA damage, and cytotoxicity of camptothecin derivatives presently in clinical trials. J Natl Cancer Inst 1994;86:836–42.
 - Danks MK, Morton CL, Krull EJ, Cheshire PJ, Richmond LB, Naeve CW, et al. Comparison of activation of CPT-11 by rabbit and human carboxylesterases for use in enzyme/prodrug therapy. Clin Cancer Res 1999; 5:917–24.
 - Wierdl M, Morton CL, Weeks JK, Danks MK, Harris LC, Potter PM. Sensitization of human tumor cells to CPT-11 via adenoviral-mediated delivery of a rabbit liver carboxylesterase. Cancer Res 2001;61:5078–82.
- Aarum J, Sandberg K, Haeberlein SL, Persson MA. Migration and differentiation of neural precursor cells can be directed by microglia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:15983–8.
 - Fernaud-Espinosa I, Nieto-Sampedro M, Bovolenta P. Differential activation of microglia and astrocytes in aniso- and isomorphic gliotic tissue. Glia 1993;8:277–91.
- Cleaver O, Melton DA. Endothelial signaling during development. Nat Med 2003;9:661–8.

16. Lim SH, Choi SA, Lee JY, Wang KC, Phi JH, Lee DH, et al. Therapeutic targeting of subdural medulloblastomas using human neural stem cells expressing carboxylesterase. Cancer Gene Ther 2011;18:817–24.

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

- Seol HJ, Jin J, Seong DH, Joo KM, Kang W, Yang H, et al. Genetically engineered human neural stem cells with rabbit carboxyl esterase can target brain metastasis from breast cancer. Cancer Lett 2011;311:152–9.
- Lee HJ, Hong SH, An J, Lim IJ, Borlongan C, Aboody KS, et al. Human neural stem cells expressing carboxyl esterase target and inhibit tumor growth of lung cancer brain metastases. Cancer Gene Ther 2013; 20:678–82.
- Gutova M, Najbauer J, Chen MY, Potter PM, Kim SU, Aboody KS. Therapeutic targeting of melanoma cells using neural stem cells expressing carboxylesterase, a CPT-11 activating enzyme. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 2010;5:273–6.
- Kim KY, Kim SU, Leung PC, Jeung EB, Choi KC. Influence of the prodrugs 5fluorocytosine and CPT-11 on ovarian cancer cells using genetically engineered stem cells: tumor-tropic potential and inhibition of ovarian cancer cell growth. Cancer Sci 2010;101:955–62.
- 21. Aboody KS, Bush RA, Garcia E, Metz MZ, Najbauer J, Justus KA, et al. Development of a tumor-selective approach to treat metastatic cancer. PLoS One 2006;1:e23.
- Hori J, Ng TF, Shatos M, Klassen H, Streilein JW, Young MJ. Neural progenitor cells lack immunogenicity and resist destruction as allografts. 2003. Ocul Immunol Inflamm 2007;15:261–73.
- Lee EM, Hurh S, Cho B, Oh KH, Kim SU, Surh CD, et al. CD70-CD27 ligation between neural stem cells and CD4+ T cells induces Fas-FasLmediated T-cell death. Stem Cell Res Ther 2013;4:56.
- 24. Danks MK, Yoon KJ, Bush RA, Remack JS, Wierdl M, Tsurkan L, et al. Tumor-targeted enzyme/prodrug therapy mediates long-term diseasefree survival of mice bearing disseminated neuroblastoma. Cancer Res 2007;67:22–5.