Epigenetic alterations of the *BDNF* gene in combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder


**Objective:** Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) plays a crucial role in modulating resilience and vulnerability to stress. The aim of this study was to investigate whether epigenetic regulation of the *BDNF* gene is a biomarker of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) development among veterans exposed to combat in the Vietnam War.

**Methods:** Using the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale, combat veterans were grouped into those with (*n* = 126) and without (*n* = 122) PTSD. DNA methylation levels at four CpG sites within the *BDNF* promoter I region were quantified in the peripheral blood using pyrosequencing. The effects of *BDNF* DNA methylation levels and clinical variables on the diagnosis of PTSD were tested using binary logistic regression analysis.

**Results:** Subjects with PTSD showed a higher DNA methylation of four CpG sites at the *BDNF* promoter compared with those without PTSD. High methylation levels at the *BDNF* promoter CpG site, high combat exposure, and alcohol problems were significantly associated with PTSD diagnosis.

**Conclusions:** This study demonstrated an association between higher DNA methylation of the *BDNF* promoter and PTSD diagnosis in combat-exposed individuals. Our findings suggest that altered *BDNF* methylation may be a valuable biomarker of PTSD after trauma exposure.

**Significant outcomes**

- Subjects with PTSD showed a higher DNA methylation at the *BDNF* promoter I compared with those without PTSD among individuals exposed to combat.
- Hypermethylation at the CpG sites of the *BDNF* promoter I, high combat exposure and alcohol use were factors significantly associated with PTSD status.

**Limitations**

- It remains unclear whether the observed *BDNF* DNA methylation is a causal factor or a consequence of PTSD in the present cross-sectional study findings.
- Some potential confounding factors, such as early-life adversity, which can affect *BDNF* methylation, were not considered.
- The present sample consisted of older male veterans exposed to combat approximately 45 years ago, which limits generalizability of findings to other PTSD populations.
Introduction

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a debilitating mental disorder with characteristic symptoms including long-lasting re-experience of traumatic memory that can develop after exposure to a life-threatening traumatic event (1). Although precipitating trauma represents the major environmental factor of PTSD development, the biological mechanisms involved in the manifestation of PTSD following exposure to trauma are still not certain. Epigenetic alterations, representing key mechanisms by which environmental factors, such as life events, elicit enduring changes in gene expression, are proposed to contribute to the pathophysiology of PTSD (2–5).

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is a key regulator of memory formation and stress response in the brain (6–8). BDNF and its receptor tyrosine kinase B (TrkB) regulate neuronal survival, growth, and differentiation during development, as well as synapse formation and neuroplasticity underlying acquisition and consolidation of memory in the adult brain, which is implicated in playing a crucial role in modulating stress resilience and vulnerability (9, 10). Several lines of evidence have implicated BDNF as a critical candidate biomarker underlying PTSD pathophysiology. First, stress has been demonstrated to contribute to the dysregulation of BDNF level. For example, in animal studies, early maternal separation reduced expression of hippocampal BDNF in adulthood (11), and re-exposure to cues previously associated with footshock decreased expression of BDNF mRNA in the hippocampus (12). In addition, findings from knockout and transgenic studies showed that BDNF was associated with impaired fear memory consolidation and extinction of conditioned fear, closely linked in the pathophysiology of PTSD (13, 14). While BDNF-heterozygous knockout mice exhibit reduced hippocampal long-term potentiation (15) and impaired contextual fear learning (16), transgenic mice overexpressing TrkB show improved contextual fear conditioning and reduced anxiety (17).

In particular, emerging evidence suggests that differential epigenetic regulation of the BDNF gene during the lifespan could play a crucial role in the pathophysiology of PTSD (5, 18–20). An animal model of PTSD showed that enhanced hippocampal BDNF and increased TrkB signaling in response to fear conditioning are associated with epigenetic regulation of the BDNF gene during fear memory consolidation, suggesting that the BDNF epigenetic alteration underlies long-lasting re-experience of traumatic memory in patients with PTSD (18). Another animal study showed that adulthood psychosocial stress can induce increased BDNF DNA methylation and decreased levels of BDNF mRNA in the dorsal hippocampus, a cellular mechanism underlying the pathophysiology of PTSD (19). Further, an epigenetic study in adult rats reported that hippocampal BDNF DNA methylation is regulated in response to contextual fear conditioning and that an infusion of DNA methyl-transferase inhibitor causes BDNF DNA demethylation and increased mRNA levels of exons I, IV, and VI with a corresponding increase in total BDNF gene expression in the hippocampus in vivo (20). Another study using a fear conditioning and extinction paradigm in mice showed that chronic fluoxetine treatment enhances synaptic plasticity and fear extinction through increased BDNF exon I and total BDNF mRNA levels in the amygdala and BDNF exon I level in the hippocampus (21). While a variety of animal models of fear memory formation and PTSD-like symptoms have revealed epigenetic regulation of BDNF, only a few studies in human clinical populations have reported that altered BDNF levels may be implicated in the development and recovery of PTSD, suggesting the potential role for epigenetic regulation of BDNF in the pathophysiology of PTSD (22, 23).

The aim of this study was to investigate whether epigenetic regulation of the BDNF gene is a biomarker of PTSD status among veterans exposed to combat in the Vietnam War. In addition, the influence of several potential factors, including combat exposure levels and alcohol use, was examined in the pathophysiology of PTSD. Our main hypothesis was that higher BDNF DNA methylation status might be related to the risk of PTSD among trauma-exposed individuals.

Material and methods

Participants

The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Veterans Health Service (VHS) Medical Center in Seoul, South Korea, and all subjects gave their written informed consent before participating. All subjects were Korean male veterans who served on active duty during the Vietnam War. They were administered a structured, face-to-face interview by a trained psychiatrist (T. Y. Kim). The exclusion criteria for the study included a history of head trauma, organic brain syndrome including cerebrovascular accidents or dementia, psychosis or bipolar disorder, or dependence on substances other than alcohol and nicotine.
A total of 116 male patients with a principal diagnosis of combat-related PTSD who met DSM-IV-TR (1) diagnostic criteria for PTSD were recruited from an outpatient mental health clinic at the VHS Medical Center. A total of 140 potential control subjects were recruited through advertisements from non-psychiatry outpatient clinics in the same hospital. Of these, 12 were diagnosed with PTSD based on the DSM-IV-TR and reclassified to the PTSD group. In total, 128 subjects with PTSD and 128 (non-PTSD) control subjects were included.

Assessment of clinical characteristics

The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS), which is one of the most useful measures of PTSD (24, 25), was applied for assessing symptoms of the disorder. CAPS is a structured clinical interview designed to assess the presence of PTSD and the frequency and intensity of each PTSD symptom dimension, ranging from 0 (none) to 4 (daily or almost every day) and 0 (PTSD) and 128 (non-PTSD) control subjects were recruited through advertisements from non-psychiatry outpatient clinics in the same hospital. Of these, 12 were diagnosed with PTSD based on the DSM-IV-TR and reclassified to the PTSD group. In total, 128 subjects with PTSD and 128 (non-PTSD) control subjects were included.

Among BDNF promoter regions, we targeted BDNF promoter I region, which has been implicated in fear memory formation and antidepressant responses (18, 21). In particular, four CpG sites were tested in the CpG-rich region of the BDNF promoter I, based on previous epigenetic clinical studies of depression in Korean samples (30, 31). The DNA of the subjects was isolated from whole blood cells using standard techniques for DNA methylation analysis. The BDNF promoter region for analyzing methylation status was positioned between −694 and −654, relative to the translation start site considered as +1 in BDNF exon 1 (CpG1 = −688, CpG2 = −686, CpG3 = −682, CpG4 = −675) (Fig. 1a). The position was determined according to the Genome Build 38 of the NCBI human reference assembly. BDNF DNA methylation was analyzed using the bisulfite pyrosequencing method as previously described (30, 32). The Pyrosequencing Assay Design Software v2.0 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for designing PCR and sequencing primers. The PCR was performed in a volume of 20 μl with 20 ng or more converted DNA, 2.5 μl of 10 × Taq buffer, 5 U Hot/Start Taq polymerase (Enzymics, South Korea), 2 μl of each 2.5 mM dNTP mixture, 1 μl of 10 pmol/μl Primer-S, and 1 μl of 10 pmol/μl biotinylated-Primer-As. The amplification was conducted according to the general guidelines of the pyrosequencing method: denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95°C, 55°C, and 72°C, each for 30 s, followed by a final extension cycle at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR product (2 μl) was electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel (SeaKem LE Agarose; Lonza Rockland, Inc., Rockland, ME, USA) and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide. The single-stranded DNA templates were prepared from 16 to 18 μl of biotinylated PCR products using streptavidin-coated Sepharose® HP beads (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) and following the PSQ 96 sample preparation guide for multichannel pipettes. Fifteen picomoles of the respective sequencing primers was used for analysis. Sequencing was performed using a PyroMark ID system with the Pyro Gold reagents kit (Qiagen). The percentages of individual methylation at four CpG sites were calculated, as shown in a representative pyrogram (Fig. 1b). The analysis of a non-CpG cytosine during pyrosequencing was included to provide the internal quality control of the completeness of bisulfite treatment. The pyrosequencing procedures were carried out using the service of Genomictree, Inc. (Daejeon, South Korea).
Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), version 23.0. Significance level was accepted at \( P < 0.05 \), and all tests were two-tailed.

We assumed that the present data would be normally distributed according to the Central Limit Theorem (33) and then employed parametric statistical tests, although some variables, such as methylation levels, were not perfectly normally distributed. Demographics and clinical characteristics between participants with and without PTSD were compared using the chi-square or Student’s \( t \)-test. Pearson’s correlation coefficients (\( r \)) were used for examining relationships between PTSD symptom scores and possible related factors.

The logistic regression analysis was used to model the dichotomous outcome of PTSD being present vs. absent, and the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was conducted for models’ performance. \( BDNF \) DNA methylation levels at four CpG sites within the promoter region were tested using binary logistic regression analysis with the forward conditional method to identify major determinants that best predict PTSD diagnosis. In addition, when statistical significance was found in the Pearson’s correlation analyses or when clinical significance has been reported as a predictor of PTSD in previous studies, the potential associated factors were also selected as independent variables of the regression models. The outcome variable was analyzed yielding odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and \( P \) values.

Additionally, a mediation analysis was used to assess whether the \( BDNF \) DNA methylation level is a mediator between associated factors and PTSD using the R package mediation (34). The mediation effect was estimated using the quasi-Bayesian Monte Carlo method based on normal approximation and the 95% CIs were obtained through 1000 simulations.

Results

Of the 256 people who participated in the interview, data from eight additional veterans were not included in the final analysis: Four veterans in the non-PTSD group who reported their war trauma
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Fig. 1. DNA methylation analysis of the \( BDNF \) promoter I region. (a) \( BDNF \) promoter I region sequenced in CpG methylation analysis. (b) A representative pyrogram of the four CpG sites in the \( BDNF \) promoter. Dispensations corresponding to the potentially methylated cytosine (C or T after bisulfite treatment) are highlighted in light gray. The ratio of the cytosine (methylation) peak height to the sum of cytosine and thymine peak heights within each CpG site in automated DNA sequencing traces is calculated, which are the values in blue boxes on top of each of the CpG sites. The non-CpG C position analyzed is highlighted in yellow as the built-in quality control site to verify bisulfite conversion.

[Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
in an interview but scored as 0 on the self-report CES were excluded due to incongruent information related to combat exposure, and another two participants in each group were excluded due to insufficient DNA. Thus, the final analyses included 126 patients with PTSD and 122 control subjects.

Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. The mean age in the final sample was 63.16 years (standard deviation: SD = 3.53 years) for the PTSD group and 62.86 years (SD = 4.39 years) for the non-PTSD group. There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in demographic characteristics including education, marital status, or socioeconomic status.

Subjects with PTSD were more likely to be associated with harmful alcohol drinking based on the AUDIT score. According to the AUDIT cutoff system (total AUDIT ≥ 8), 57.9% (n = 73/126) of patients with PTSD were classified as probable harmful alcohol users, while 36.9% (n = 45) of people without PTSD were classified.

For combat exposure, the CES scores were 20.44 ± 7.15 in the PTSD group and 14.19 ± 7.74 in the non-PTSD group (P < 0.001). When level of combat exposure was categorized based on the CES score in the PTSD group, 4% (n = 5) reported light combat exposure, 23% (n = 29) reported light-to-moderate exposure, 46% (n = 58) reported moderate exposure, 21.4% (n = 27) reported moderate-to-heavy exposure, and 5.6% (n = 7) reported heavy combat exposure. Among people without PTSD, the corresponding proportions of combat exposure were 27.0% (n = 33), 39.3% (n = 48), 23.8% (n = 29), 9.0% (n = 11), and 0.8% (n = 1) respectively. The distribution of the five CES categories between PTSD and non-PTSD showed a significant difference (X² = 46.17, df = 4, P < 0.001), with a higher proportion of high combat exposure in people with PTSD than in those without PTSD.

In Student’s t-test, subjects with PTSD showed a higher DNA methylation of four CpG sites at the BDNF promoter and higher CAPS scores, compared with those without PTSD (Table 1). Because BDNF methylation levels at the four CpG sites were highly correlated with each other (r = 0.87–0.95, P < 0.001), the mean methylation degree at the four CpG sites was applied as a variable in the analyses. There was a weak positive relationship between the BDNF DNA methylation level and PTSD symptom scores based on CAPS (r = 0.17, P = 0.008). In addition, BDNF DNA methylation levels showed a weak positive correlation with CES scores (r = 0.15, P = 0.019), whereas it had no correlation with AUDIT scores (r = 0.019, P = 0.77).

Binary logistic regression analysis for predicting PTSD included the following independent variables: age, education, marital status, socioeconomic status, the CES level (the dichotomized high [≥25] and light-to-moderate combat exposure ([<25]), the presence of harmful alcohol drinking, and the mean methylation degree of the BDNF promoter I region. Table 2 shows the best fit model for the data, as the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test statistic was 9.527 (P = 0.30). In the regression model, high combat exposure, harmful alcohol drinking, and high

| Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants with and without current PTSD |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PTSD (N = 126) | Non-PTSD (N = 122) | T or χ² | P |
| Age | 63.16 ± 3.53 | 62.86 ± 4.39 | 0.93 | 0.36 |
| Education (years) | 10.40 ± 2.08 | 10.49 ± 3.18 | -0.23 | 0.82 |
| Marital status: Married/Other, n | 106/18 | 113/9 | 3.05 | 0.10 |
| Socioeconomic status: High/Medium/Low, n | 25/56/45 | 20/58/44 | 0.54 | 0.76 |
| Total CAPS | 62.53 ± 22.22 | 9.16 ± 11.52 | 23.85 | <0.001 |
| Re-experience | 20.65 ± 8.55 | 4.31 ± 7.51 | 16.01 | <0.001 |
| Avoidance | 21.30 ± 10.17 | 1.61 ± 3.40 | 23.58 | <0.001 |
| Hyperarousal | 20.58 ± 8.15 | 3.31 ± 4.23 | 21.03 | <0.001 |
| Combat exposure level | 92/34 | 110/12 | 12.08 | 0.001 |
| Light-to-moderate/High, n | 73/53 | 45/77 | 11.01 | 0.001 |
| AUDIT score | 11.76 ± 10.98 | 6.80 ± 7.58 | 4.15 | <0.001 |
| Alcohol problem: Yes/No, n | 73/53 | 45/77 | 11.01 | 0.001 |
| Use of psychoactive medication: Yes/No, n | 91/35 | 23/99 | 7.15 | 0.007 |
| Use of SSRIs: Yes/No, n | 73/53 | 45/77 | 11.01 | 0.001 |
| BDNF methylation | | | | |
| CpG 1 | 9.63 ± 3.36 | 8.82 ± 3.18 | 1.94 | 0.053 |
| CpG 2 | 9.34 ± 3.42 | 8.43 ± 3.52 | 2.08 | 0.039 |
| CpG 3 | 8.29 ± 2.74 | 7.43 ± 3.04 | 2.38 | 0.021 |
| CpG 4 | 10.91 ± 3.31 | 9.79 ± 3.46 | 2.62 | 0.009 |
| CpG mean | 9.54 ± 3.06 | 8.62 ± 3.22 | 2.08 | 0.021 |

CAPS, Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale; AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; BDNF, brain-derived neurotropic factor.
BDNF methylation status were significantly associated with PTSD diagnosis (Table 2).

In the additional mediation analyses of the regression model, there were no significant indirect associations from trauma exposure and alcohol consumption to PTSD diagnostic status via BDNF methylation levels. BDNF methylation levels showed a weak mediating effect in the path from trauma exposure to PTSD status, even though the indirect effect did not reach statistical significance \( (P = 0.09, B = 0.02, 95\% \text{ CI } [-0.003, 0.059]) \). To obtain a better understanding of relationships between the major factors and PTSD status, the results from the regression model and additional mediation analyses are depicted in Fig. 2.

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrated an association between higher DNA methylation of the BDNF promoter region and chronic PTSD in Korean combat veterans of the Vietnam War. The major strength of the present study is that it contributes epigenetic evidence of molecular determinants predicting individual differences in susceptibility to PTSD in a relatively homogenous group of people exposed to similar trauma. A key finding of our study is that BDNF promoter methylation status was associated with PTSD diagnosis in combat-exposed individuals, suggesting that traumatic events may influence PTSD pathophysiology via epigenetic modulation of BDNF.

To the best of our knowledge, there has been little research in humans of epigenetic regulation of BDNF in PTSD. Our observation of altered BDNF methylation in patients with PTSD is in agreement with previous reports in animal PTSD models of BDNF epigenetic changes in response to experience. An epigenetic study in male rats showed that traumatic stress manipulations in adulthood produced PTSD-like symptoms in rats via significant BDNF DNA hypermethylation and BDNF mRNA hypoexpression in the dorsal CA1 subregion of the hippocampus (19). Another study using a rodent contextual fear conditioning paradigm showed dynamic epigenetic changes in the adult brain after fear conditioning, including upregulated BDNF mRNA in adult hippocampus via DNA methylation and chromatin remodeling during consolidation of fear memory, and BDNF DNA de-methylation and subsequently increased BDNF mRNA levels by a DNA methyl-transferase inhibitor (20). The extinction of conditioned fear was reported to be associated with histone modifications at BDNF promoters and enhanced BDNF exon I and IV mRNA expression in the prefrontal cortex, implicating epigenetic regulation of BDNF as an important factor in the persistence of pathological fear (35). These findings suggest that traumatic stress occurring in adulthood can induce epigenetic changes of the BDNF gene, which may be a molecular mechanism underlying the pathophysiology of PTSD in people exposed to trauma.

In the present prediction model of PTSD, high combat exposure and problematic alcohol use, in addition to BDNF methylation levels, were factors significantly associated with PTSD status. Combat exposure, alcohol drinking, and BDNF methylation status explained 6.6%, 4.8%, and 2.3% of the variation in PTSD diagnosis respectively. While it is not surprising that high levels of external trauma are a major risk factor for developing PTSD (28), how the noted factors of PTSD work together to influence PTSD in trauma-exposed individuals warrants further consideration. There may be at least two ways external trauma and BDNF methylation can work together. One possible explanation is that alterations in BDNF methylation may mediate neurobiological changes in the development and persistence of PTSD following trauma exposure. Although its mediating effect in the present mediation analysis only reached a trend level of significance \( (P = 0.09) \), BDNF methylation status may indeed contribute to the relationship between trauma exposure and PTSD. Further studies with greater statistical power to detect subtle differences in methylation changes will help definitively determine a mediating effect of BDNF methylation thereon. Another plausible explanation is that BDNF methylation may moderate the effect of trauma exposure on PTSD development, if BDNF epigenetic modifications temporally precede traumatic events. Previous studies have reported that some factors triggered in early development, such as prenatal exposure to maternal stress (36) and postnatal early-life trauma (32, 37), may affect epigenetic programming and subsequently contribute to the observed BDNF methylation levels.
Therefore, preexisting BDNF epigenetic modification derived from prior cumulative stress effects, such as early-life trauma, may serve to amplify stress reactions and fear memory formation in response to adulthood trauma, which might ultimately lead to the development and persistence of PTSD. Although their complex relationships of PTSD cannot be inferred clearly from the present data without information on temporal precedence, BDNF methylation may be a valuable biomarker of PTSD in trauma-exposed individuals.

Increased BDNF DNA methylation status in PTSD can be also implicated as a potential treatment target for regulating the recovery of pathological fear memory formation. BDNF, a key mediator for neuronal plasticity, is known to be elevated following a course of antidepressant treatment such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), the most common pharmacological treatment for PTSD (38). Previous studies have also shown that altered BDNF expression can be reversed by the proper pharmacological intervention such as chronic administration of antidepressants. A study in rat brain showed that the SSRI fluoxetine increased hippocampal BDNF mRNA, and it also partially reversed the corticosterone-induced downregulated BDNF expression in hippocampus (39). Furthermore, in mice with chronic social defeat stress, increased histone acetylation and downregulated BDNF expression in the hippocampus were normalized by chronic imipramine treatment (40). The epigenetic mechanisms of imipramine or paroxetine were reported to be mediated primarily through inhibition of DNA methyl-transferase 1 activity in rat cortex (41). These findings propose that epigenetic modulation of BDNF may be a valuable strategy for modifying fear conditioning and preventing and treating PTSD through synaptic plasticity. As DNA methylation may represent a combination of state-and trait-dependent effects, further prospective research that incorporates multiwave longitudinal data may provide a better biological basis for establishing causality and recovery of PTSD.

However, given the difficulty of assessing BDNF methylation in the brain tissue directly, we investigated BDNF promoter methylation in peripheral leukocytes. The question remains how BDNF methylation expressed by blood cells parallels BDNF methylation in brain tissue. It has been shown that BDNF may cross the blood–brain barrier (42) and a positive correlation between BDNF levels in blood and hippocampus was reported (43). In addition, peripheral BDNF level was reported to be dysregulated in stress-related psychiatric disorders including PTSD (22, 44). Thus, although we could not answer this question clearly in the present data, we hypothesized that the observed methylation pattern in peripheral blood might be relevant to the brain. This is also supported by several recent studies, in which peripheral BDNF methylation status was associated with psychiatric symptoms, such as depression, suggesting that it may be a potential biomarker of psychiatric disorders (45, 46). Moreover, DNA methylation patterns in CpG-rich promoters were shown to be less tissue specific across blood and brain regions (47, 48). On the contrary, several studies reported that DNA methylation markers are inconsistent across tissues (49, 50). Although there is controversy about whether epigenetic changes in the peripheral blood reflect expression changes in the brain or not, our findings suggest that peripheral DNA methylation changes in BDNF may be a possible biomarker for PTSD status.

On the other hand, hazardous alcohol use, a significant factor for present PTSD, showed no significant association with BDNF methylation status. Considering mounting evidence of a relationship between alcohol consumption and BDNF promoter methylation (51), the present negative result may also be attributable to insufficient statistical power to detect a subtle effect and caution should be warranted in interpreting these findings. Alcohol use disorders frequently co-occur with PTSD among both civilians and veterans (52, 53). The causal relationship between PTSD and comorbid alcohol use disorders is complex because some patients with PTSD try to relieve their symptoms via alcohol drinking and consequently develop alcohol use disorders, while others with hazardous alcohol use are susceptible to PTSD and subsequently develop PTSD following trauma exposure (54). According to the common factors hypothesis, the association between PTSD and alcohol use disorders would be attributable to shared biological vulnerability factors (53).

In the present data, 72.2% and 18.9% of participants with and without PTSD have taken any psychoactive drugs, and the most common medication used was SSRI. The proportion of SSRI users in the PTSD group in our data was significantly higher than that in the non-PTSD group. Considering the effects of SSRIs on epigenetic changes in BDNF as mentioned above, SSRI use may affect BDNF methylation levels in our results. Although this issue requires further confirmation in drug-naïve samples, it seems unlikely that SSRI use contributes to BDNF hypermethylation observed in the PTSD group because SSRIs tend to have the opposite effect.
Several potential limitations of this study should be noted. First, although bisulfite pyrosequencing is known as a highly specific and sensitive screening technique for DNA methylation analysis, it may often limit the acquisition of reliable data on DNA methylation status, which may lead to missing a subtle difference or make a false-positive result. Thus, to generalize the present prediction model of PTSD, it should be replicated in independent PTSD samples with larger sample sizes using highly accurate tools. New techniques, such as nanowire transistor and force spectroscopy, in combination with the current pyrosequencing, would be helpful to developing more accurate detection of DNA methylation and more reliable and reproducible results. Second, we employed both groups of trauma-exposed PTSD and trauma-exposed non-PTSD and did not recruit trauma-unexposed healthy control group, because our main purpose was to determine the influence of BDNF methylation on PTSD pathophysiology under similar environmental conditions, rather than to examine the effects of trauma exposure itself. When trauma-unexposed healthy controls are also included and compared with trauma-exposed non-PTSD group, it may enable them to identify a function of trauma exposure on BDNF methylation. Third, the present sample consisted of older male veterans (average age 63 years) exposed to combat approximately 45 years prior, limiting generalization of present findings to other PTSD populations, although our sample set is likely to be more homogeneous group with similar trauma and demographic characteristics of age, educational level, sex, and ethnic origin. Furthermore, the present control group (combat-exposed individuals without PTSD) may include some remitted individuals with a past PTSD diagnosis, who could have different BDNF methylation patterns from individuals who have never had a PTSD. Finally, we did not consider some possible confounding factors affecting epigenetic changes of BDNF, such as early-life trauma, recent stressors, and amount of physical activity. However, we believe that these limitations do not detract from the major results of our study, which will require further confirmation in independent PTSD samples with consideration of various confounding factors.

In summary, the present study of Korean combat veterans demonstrates an association between higher DNA methylation of the BDNF promoter I region and PTSD. Hypermethylation at the CpG sites of the BDNF promoter I, high combat exposure, and alcohol use were factors significantly associated with PTSD status. Although we cannot definitively conclude how the related factors work together to affect PTSD pathophysiology from the present data, the findings suggest that altered BDNF methylation may be a valuable biomarker of PTSD after trauma exposure. Further prospective research examining dynamic epigenetic changes in the BDNF locus in people exposed to trauma might shed light on the role and the biological basis of BDNF in susceptibility to PTSD.
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